



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/631,243	07/31/2003	Kimberly D. Seaman	020420	1608
26285	7590	08/31/2009	EXAMINER	
K&I. GATES LLP			EBERSMAN, BRUCE I	
535 SMITHFIELD STREET			ART UNIT	
PITTSBURGH, PA 15222			PAPER NUMBER	
			3691	
			MAIL DATE	
			DELIVERY MODE	
			08/31/2009	
			PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/631,243

Applicant(s)

SEAMAN ET AL.

Examiner

BRUCE I. EBERSMAN

Art Unit

3691

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) BRUCE I. EBERSMAN.(3) Michael Dukes (applicant attorney).(2) Michael Lazzara (attorney for applicant).

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 19 August 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 23 and 30-32.

Identification of prior art discussed: Gregov, Akifuji and official notice.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant requested interview after final rejection to discuss potential amendments to be submitted in a future response/amendment. Applicant attorneys discussed merits of rejection on dependent claim 23 (Gregov) and 30-32, official notice rules/rationale.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Alexander Kalinowski/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3691